| 1 | 2 |
|---|---|
| 4. विद्यमान इस्पात संयंत्रशाला में सतत ढुलाई संयंत्र | 1. हेवी इंजीनियरिंग, कारपोरेशन इंडिया<br>2. स्याजप्रोमेक्सपोर्ट, सोवियत संघ<br>3. मैनेसमन डिमैग हुटेटेक्निक, जर्मनी |
| 5. ब्लूम स्लैब बिलेट तथा प्लेट मिल के संशोधन | 1. मैनेसमन डिमैग सैक, जर्मनी<br>2. मेकन, भारत<br>3. मुकन्द, भारत (निविदा प्रस्तुति के पश्चात् वे तकनीकी-वाणिज्यिक विचार-विमर्श में शामिल नहीं हुए) |

**Theft in Rourkela Steel Plant**

4246. SHRI RAM AWADHESH SINGH: Will the Minister of STEEL be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the parameter wall of Rourkela Steel Plant has been broken at two places from where valuable materials of crores of rupees are stolen with the connivance of senior executives everyday;

(b) whether it is also a fact that Within the perimeter wall of the factory regular dwellings of hundreds of people have been constructed and they reside there and help stealing away the property of the company; and

(c) if so, what action Government propose to take against responsible officers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF STEEL (SHRI SONTOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) As a result of water logging due to heavy rains, the perimeter wall of the Rourkela {Steel Plant got damaged at two places (i.e, Paniline and No. TL-10) which has since been repaired. To safeguard adequately the property of the plant from theft, security strength was increased and it* patrolling intensified in the affected areas during the intervening period. No loss of property has been reported on this account.

(b) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise

**Power failure to Rourkela Steel Plant**

4247. SHRI RAM AWADHESH SINGH: Will the Minister of STEEL be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in the second week of February, 1992 there was a complete power failure in Rourkela Steel Plant, which stopped working of all [4] blast furnaces for several hours;

(b) whether it is also a fact that this accident took place only due to introduction of a machine—namely electronic cyclone in captive power plant which was procured by Rourkela Steel Plant management on the recommendation of Director operation from his relative company;

(c) whether it is a fact that with the introduction of the machine clinker formation started as a result of which C PP came to an stand still and BF No. 4 was stopped for a week which resulted 4500T. production loss par day;